UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/786,164	02/26/2004	Andrew Jay Bean	3638-115 (AMK)	9134
23117 NIXON & VA	7590 07/17/200 NDERHYE, PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH G	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	CHIN SHUE, ALVIN C		
ARLINGTON, VA 22203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3634	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/786,164	BEAN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Alvin C. Chin-Shue	3634
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tiled will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pre-	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination	rawn from consideration. I/or election requirement.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a deplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to by the ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applicat riority documents have been receive eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/786,164 Page 2

Art Unit: 3634

In view of the Appeal Brief filed on 5/31/08, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. The new rejection is as set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
- (2) initiate a new appeal by filing a notice of appeal under 37 CFR 41.31 followed by an appeal brief under 37 CFR 41.37. The previously paid notice of appeal fee and appeal brief fee can be applied to the new appeal. If, however, the appeal fees set forth in 37 CFR 41.20 have been increased since they were previously paid, then appellant must pay the difference between the increased fees and the amount previously paid.

A Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) has approved of reopening prosecution by signing below:

/KATHERINE W MITCHELL/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3634.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Application/Control Number: 10/786,164

Art Unit: 3634

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bach et al in view of Bodtke. Bach teaches the claimed method but although showing at 2 and 3 lifts having a plurality of booms is silent on same, thus the claimed difference being a work equipment having a pivotally attached main boom. Bodtke teaches a work equipment connected to a tower boom by a pivotally attached main boom (201, 601), Bodtke at 662, 661 teaches the use of sensing means position at a tower boom and between the tower and main boom, respectively, as set forth in claims 18 and 21. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Bach to comprise a work equipment with a main boom and sensing means located, as taught by Bodtke, to enable enhance positioning of a work equipment and sense the positioning of the booms, respectively. To teach his path control arrangement for the nose of his boom to follow a predetermined path, as set forth in claims 3-5, 8, 12-14 and 16 would have been an obvious mechanical expediency in view of the capability and function of his control arrangement with memory means. The examiner TAKES OFFICIAL NOTICE that the use of inclinometers and rotation sensors on booms to sense the position of the booms is a conventional practice. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide an inclinometer and a rotation sensor in place of the sensors, as taught by Bodtke, by the substituted use of one known equivalent element for another.

Claims 1-17 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bach et al in view of Ashworth. Bach teaches the claimed method including a switch 28, but although showing at 2 and 3 lifts having a plurality of booms is silent on same, thus the claimed difference being a work equipment having a pivotally attached main boom. Ashworth teaches a work equipment connected to a tower boom by a pivotally attached main boom at 19. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Bach to comprise a work equipment with a main boom, as taught by Ashworth, to enable enhance positioning of a work equipment. To teach his path control arrangement for the nose of his boom to follow a predetermined path, as set forth in claims 3-5, 8, 12-14 and 16 would have been an obvious mechanical expediency in view of the capability and function of his control arrangement with memory means.

Claims 2,9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bach et al and either Bodtke or Ashworth, as applied to claims 1,6 and 10 as applied above, and further in view of Fulton, as applied to claim 17 teaches a "Go

Application/Control Number: 10/786,164 Page 5

Art Unit: 3634

To" switch 36. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the system of Bach with a "Go To" switch, as taught by Fulton, to activate the predetermined path of his boom.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin C. Chin-Shue whose telephone number is 571-272-6828. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Katherine Mitchell can be reached on 571-272-7069. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/786,164 Page 6

Art Unit: 3634

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR

only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system,

contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you

would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to

the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or

571-272-1000.

Alvin C. Chin-Shue Primary Examiner Art Unit 3634

/Alvin C. Chin-Shue/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3634